IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ROGER DELANE WILSON,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

Cause No.

CR-201700516

Wednesday, August 7, 2019 Bisbee, Arizona

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
HEARING ON MOTIONS
EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS
DEFENDANT'S OUTBURST AT THE END OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JAMES L. CONLOGUE, JUDGE

COPY

VAN G. HONEMAN, RDR Official Court Reporter Cochise County Superior Court Bisbee, Arizona AZ Certified Reporter #50335

## APPEARANCES:

For the State:

LORI ZUCCO, Esq. Deputy County Attorney

For the Defendant:

STEVEN WEST, Esq. Attorney at Law Tucson, AZ

- 1 \* \* \* MR. WEST: So I just want a clarification,
- 2 Judge, and can I continue on? You're going to give us
- 3 another hearing and we'll come back in terms of these
- 4 'due process issues?
- 5 THE COURT: I think -- well, and how much
- 6 time do you feel you'll need to take if you just focused
- on the voluntariness of the interview itself? Do you have
- 8 quite a bit more on that?
- 9 MR. WEST: I think I can give you an
- 10 example. I think this statement is replete with other
- 11 examples, but I don't think that it's -- I mean, we have
- 12 the transcript, I don't intend to read that right into the
- 13 record.
- THE COURT: I will read it, so I think it's
- important for me to read it. Do you agree with that?
- MR. WEST: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: I will read it in terms of
- 18 making a decision on voluntariness.
- MS. ZUCCO: Your Honor, do you have the
- 20 recording as well, because I would ask that you listen
- 21 to the recording.
- THE COURT: I don't have it. I mean,
- 23 I think it was sealed and --
- MS. ZUCCO: Okay. Well, then can I do a
- 25 supplement to the record with the recording as contained

- in my response motion?
- MR. WEST: Well, I have no problem listening
- 3 to the recording, Judge, and it may be fair to say --
- THE DEFENDANT: Bitching --
- 5 MR. WEST: One moment, Judge.
- 6 (Mr. West and the defendant had a discussion
- off the record.)
- 8 MR. WEST: Can I make a comment, your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Sure, please.
- MR. WEST: I was not part of any of these
- 11 supposed proceedings, but, apparently, there was a
- 12 proceeding some months earlier in this case prior to the
- 13 presentation of February 15 where a request was made to
- 14 have his statement rechecked for errors, and I don't know
- if anything like that ever happened and I don't even know
- 16 if that request was made.
- THE COURT: I'm not positive it ever
- 18 happened. I don't know procedurally how it came up,
- 19 but I don't think it even happened.
- MR. WEST: I could clarify.
- THE COURT: Is it Mr. Wilson reviewing the
- 22 statement against the recording? Is that what we're
- 23 talking?
- MR. WEST: I don't believe so. I think it's
- just words like "rumor" instead of "tumor" or "tumor"

- 1 instead of "rumor".
- THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I understand why
- 3 that doesn't help me.
- THE COURT: Okay. So do we want to do that
- 5 now? Do we want to clarify the statement before I look at
- 6 it?
- 7 THE DEFENDANT: But this --
- MR. WEST: Please don't talk, please!
- THE DEFENDANT: I don't think so.
- MR. WEST: Judge, you know, procedurally,
- 11 before this ever gets to a grand jury, if it goes to a
- 12 jury, that's appropriate. However, from my perspective,
- 13 these are called errors and you would probably agree it
- 14 could have said "tumor" instead of "rumor", you know,
- that kind of thing, but the bulk of it is fair to provide
- 16 in a courtroom.
- THE COURT: I appreciate that. Thank you.
- MR. WEST: Yes, sir.
- MS. ZUCCO: So, your Honor, the recording is
- 20 already part of the record, it's under seal, so can we do
- 21 a stipulation that we'll just submit a copy to chambers
- 22 for your review, or do you want it again filed as part of
- 23 the record?
- THE COURT: I don't want it filed any more.
- And if it's okay with counsel, I think you

- 1 gave me one and when I finish listening to it, I am going
- 2 to shred it, I mean, I'll put it in the shredder and make
- 3 it into a million pieces.
- 4 MR. WEST: It could be unsealed for the
- 5 purpose of you reviewing it.
- 6 MS. ZUCCO: That's fine too.
- 7 MR. WEST: So and just put it back under
- 8 seal when you finish.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. I believe that it is
- 10 being stored somewhere other than here.
- MS. ZUCCO: Because it's easier for me to
- 12 give you a copy.
- MR. WEST: I have no objection to you
- 14 getting another copy of that for your own personal
- edification while you read the transcript.
- THE COURT: So what I am going to do is
- 17 I'll find some time, I'll put the audio on, I'll get
- 18 the transcript and I'll look at them, I'll look at the
- 19 transcript simultaneously with listening to the recording,
- 20 and if it's "tumor" that should be "rumor", I think that
- 21 would be --
- THE DEFENDANT: Number one, right above
- 23 that, there is -- it says I'm lucky to be handled, that's
- 24 what the transcript says, but that's a situation to me,
- 25 so what it does is not just send it back, it's not

- 1 accurate, and I would ask the Court because it's not an
- 2 accurate transcript, it shouldn't go to the grand jury,
- 3 they should not present those transcripts to the grand
- 4 jury.
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. West, did you have further
- 6 questions from the detective related to the interview
- 7 itself?
- MR. WEST: I don't think so, Judge.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. And then Ms. Zucco,
- 10 what you're requesting is that -- well, what you're
- 11 requesting is that we not deal with it at all because
- 12 it's legally impermissible because it's just a 12.9 motion
- 13 in disguise?
- MS. ZUCCO: Yes. I understand that
- they're captioning it, again, I think you may have seen
- 16 it, but I'm requesting that it be seen as a 12.9 motion in
- 17 disguise and that it's a legal issue and that it's legally
- 18 precluded.
- Your Honor gave a cite earlier. Do you have
- 20 that cite? Okay. I'll find it.
- THE COURT: I mean, this is -- what I'm
- trying to get at and it is that -- well, No. 1, a motion
- to dismiss, that has to be a due process violation,
- 24 I mean, it has to be something that we simply can't go
- 25 forward with, and that's much different than the standard

- on 12.9, it's just very different.
- Now, there are some other issues that have
- 3 been raised, I mean, in terms of the charges themselves,
- 4 so first degree murder, then the lesser-included offenses,
- 5 but the rule says that if you charged greater, that
- 6 lesser-included offenses are automatically there, so this
- 7 has come up before, and one thing that we certainly will
- 8 not be doing regardless of anything else that happens is
- 9 that we're not going to read all those charges to the
- 10 jury as the charges, it's going to be first degree murder
- 11 and that's the only thing the jury is going to hear and
- 12 until it's time to instruct them on the lesser-included
- 13 offenses. I mean, you can argue that and you know it's
- 14 coming, it's mandatory, they have to not be instructed
- on the lesser-included offenses and how that works,
- 16 if you can't -- if you find the defendant not guilty of
- 17 the greater charge, you can't decide after fair
- deliberation, then you go to the next one and so forth,
- but I agree you can't have all three charges.
- I think that --
- MS. ZUCCO: I conceded that in my response
- 22 and maybe that's how we're going to do it here or some
- 23 people do it here and, you know, the State was always
- 24 intending that only the first degree murder gets read and
- 25 the others aren't brought up until jury instructions.

- THE COURT: I think, and again I don't know,
- 2 but my thought on it is I think that some prosecutors like
- 3 to give the grand jury all of them in case the grand jury
- 4 says oh, no probable cause on the greater offense,
- 5 but here is probable cause on this one.
- 6 MS. ZUCCO: That was my thinking in this
- 7 case, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: That that might be the reason,
- 9 I don't know, but it's -- the jury is only going to hear
- 10 about one charge whether they are dismissed without
- 11 prejudice or however you want to handle that, but that's
- 12 all there is.
- THE DEFENDANT: So the last two years,
- 14 my due process, the law two years have been incredibly
- 15 exhausting.
- MR. WEST: Did anybody ask you to talk?
- 17 Is anybody addressing you, Roger?
- THE DEFENDANT: What are you talking about?
- MR. WEST: Because I'm tired of you
- 20 interrupting my statements, I can't proceed on your behalf
- 21 if you're going to keep interrupting. I would appreciate
- 22 it --
- THE DEFENDANT: Judge, just so that's all
- 24 I have to say -- I'm done.
- THE COURT: All right. So that there --

- 1 well, there could be due process violations that relates
- 2 to the grand jury, I think that that's legally possible,
- 3 but that's different from a motion under 12.9, and I think
- 4 the evidence related to that would be quite different.
- 5 Whether there is a problem with procedure, I think that's
- 6 12.9.
- Now, on the statements, and I don't think an
- 8 attorney made a statement, but there have been statements
- 9 about perjury. I think if the indictment was based on
- 10 perjury, that would be a due process violation and that
- 11 would, I think, authorize the Court to take action
- including a dismissal, but it would have to be serious
- 13 violations like that and not simply, I don't mean anything
- 14 that, in terms of a fair presentation, and even the issues
- 15 related to exculpatory evidence and so forth, I think
- those are 12.9 issues, but on something that went to the
- 17 very heart of the process of perjured testimony, knowing
- 18 perjury, that that wouldn't authorize the Court to
- 19 dismiss.
- So we can go one of two ways. I mean, if
- 21 you, Mr. West, believe that you have some issues that
- 22 would actually be due process violations that would not
- 23 fall under 12.9, that if it were an issue separate from
- 24 12.9 and it would be a due process violation, if you want
- to inquire into those, you can do that now, and I don't

- 1 think we're going to go very much further than that unless
- 2 there is something I missed, I'm not going to decide.
- There are certain issues that you can
- 4 raise under Rule 12.9, and the remedy there is to send it
- 5 back to the grand jury, that's the remedy, just get it.
- 6 It's not dismissal. I mean, you just send it back to the
- 7 grand jury. But there are egregious actions that could be
- 8 a due process violation that would authorize the Court to
- 9 simply say, "I'm dismissing this matter," that's possible.
- So I want to give Mr. West some leeway in
- 11 terms of making a record on anything that you believe,
- 12 Mr. West, that could fall into that second category that
- 13 would be egregious errors, that that would constitute a
- 14 due process violation, but not things like that you see in
- 15 a 12.9 motion that would lead to a remand still getting
- 16 quizzical looks.
- Mr. West, do you have questions?
- MR. WEST: Well, as I understood a little
- 19 while ago, Ms. Zucco is not prepared to address those
- 20 issues because her office isn't prepared to answer these
- 21 kinds of questions, and I follow what you're saying and
- 22 I agree to disagree because I think sometimes due process
- encompasses part of 12.9 whether you like it or not,
- 24 so okay, in addition, we don't -- they are not
- 25 indivisible where one is one thing and another is another.

- 1 Sometimes they must be -- because that's what makes the
- 2 due process violation is cumulative effects of things.
- So, you know, I can proceed with where
- 4 I was going to go, but if she's not prepared, then I would
- 5 prefer to give the State a fair chance to get ready and
- 6 then we have to come back down here and address that
- 7 motion itself.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Do we have anything
- 9 more on the motion to suppress the statements?
- MR. WEST: No, sir.
- THE COURT: You do?
- MR. WEST: No.
- THE COURT: All right. Well, we can take
- 14 that up, and then so what you're suggesting is we take
- 15 that up, that you argue and then set a different time on
- 16 the motion to suppress, maybe legal argument.
- MR. WEST: The motion to dismiss.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, the motion to
- 19 dismiss. I said that one other time.
- Now, and then Mr. Wilson will have to
- let me know if he does wish to proceed with a request to
- represent himself, we'll save that for hearing as well,
- 23 and that could in fact be set after we set the motion
- 24 to dismiss, and so what I am going to assume is that,
- 25 Mr. Wilson, you're going to want to make that motion,

- 1 and you can withdraw if you like to.
- THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I do have
- 3 problems with the legality of this, and I do understand
- 4 Mr. West's position, and the difficulty is I'm not an
- 5 attorney, it's a huge case, Judge, but it's only a huge
- 6 case because of the facts of false things that have been
- 7 injected into the case, these are known to be false,
- 8 this is a contaminus conduct, this is not oh, well, the
- 9 process; right? When I've asked my attorney to bring
- 10 these two up so that the Court can make -- my attorney is
- working me, he wants to sand bag the city.
- MR. WEST: Would you sit down? I don't
- 13 appreciate you telling them my strategy in your case
- 14 to get a ruling that --
- THE DEFENDANT: We --
- MR. WEST: No!
- 17 THE COURT: We do have --
- THE DEFENDANT: Your question to me, Judge.
- THE COURT: No. You're going to have to
- 20 cooperate.
- THE DEFENDANT: And, Judge, whether he wants
- 22 to sand bag, I don't want to sand bag this thing --
- THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. We're going --
- THE DEFENDANT: -- and to deal with this.
- 25 November, this November --

1	THE COURT: We're done.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor
3	THE COURT: We'll re-set hearings in the
4	future. I think that there are going to have to be
5	additional discussion, and this is
6	THE DEFENDANT: I don't understand sand
7	bagging me, Judge, you're not giving me my day in court,
8	I want my day in court! If you're going to set it for
9	trial, set it for this week, let me have my day because
10	all the conditions for release already exist, they already
11	exist, and I'm not willing to destroy my life by allowing
12	this bitch to continue with her misconduct, I'm tired of
13	this bullshit! I'll kick your God damned ass, I'll kick
14	you, motherfucker!
15	(The defendant was removed from the
16	courtroom.)
17	(The proceedings were concluded at
18	3:40 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I CAIE
3	I, Van G. Honeman, do hereby certify that
4	as an Official Court Reporter for the Cochise County
5	Superior Court, I reported the foregoing proceedings to
6	the best of my skill and ability; and that the same was
7	transcribed by me via computer-aided transcription; and
8	that the foregoing pages of typewritten matter are a true,
9	correct and complete transcript of all the proceedings
10	had as set forth in the title page hereto.
11	and the title page hereto.
12	Im I hamman
13	VAN C HONEMAN DOD
14	VAN G. HONEMAN, RDR Official Court Reporter
15	Cochise County Superior Court Bisbee, Arizona
16	AZ Certified Reporter #50335
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	